

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	10/566,123	01/25/2006	Joachim Spratte	72073	4210
			Joachim Spratte	EXAMINER	
	P.O. BOX 9227	01/25/2006 7590 05/24/2007 TUTTLE, PC		KENNEDY, JOSHUA T	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3679	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/566,123	SPRATTE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joshua T. Kennedy	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 May 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-18 is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 January 2006 is/a	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 January 2006</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the process of	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species I in the reply filed on 5/2/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claim 10, which Applicant notes is readable on the elected species, depends from Claim 9, which has been declared as not readable thereon. Therefore, claim 10 has been withdrawn.

Claims 1-7 and 19-21 have been examined.

Claims 8-18 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 5/2/2007.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,123

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ersoy et al (US Patent 7,063,480) in view of Nishino et al (US Patent 6,831,629).

As to Claims 1. 5-7, and 21. Ersoy et al disclose a ball and socket joint for a motor vehicle, the ball and socket joint comprising:

a ball and socket joint housing (4);

a ball pivot which is mounted rotatably and pivotably in said housing and has a pivot (3) and a joint ball (1) connected thereto, said ball pivot having a recess (Fig 1); and

a magnet (M) arranged in said recess.

However, Ersoy et al do not disclose wherein an intermediate space, which is filled with a plastic ring to fix said magnet, being formed between a jacket surface of said magnet and an inner wall of said recess and said magnet being embedded in said plastic ring.

Nishino et al disclose a pivot joint having a plastic ring (200; Col 26, Line 64) "for accommodating [a] magnet" (Col 25, Lines 29-53) which is placed into a recess of a pivotable structure (194, 230). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 3679

art to modify the joint ball of Ersoy et al to have the plastic ring as taught by Nishino et al for embedding the magnet therein. This also allows for the pieces of the structure to be produced independently from each other and then secured together without directly securing the magnet to the joint ball.

As to Claim 2. Ersoy et al disclose said magnet having front sides and is directly in contact by one of said front sides with said ball pivot.

As to Claim 3. Ersoy et al disclose said magnet being cylindrical or truncated coneshaped.

As to Claim 4. Ersoy et al disclose said recess being cylindrical.

As to Claims 19 and 20. Ersoy et al disclose said ball pivot consisting entirely of a ferromagnetic material, especially a ferromagnetic steel.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,123

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patents 5504502, 6670946, 6777928, 6879240, 7036233, 7151526, 7159890, and US Patent Application publications 2006/0078369 and 2007/0040355.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua T. Kennedy whose telephone number is (571) 272-8297. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

5/17/2007

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800

Janiel P Stodols

Page 5